# EXHIBIT R REDACTED FILED UNDER SEAL

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 2 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	UNITED STATES DISTRIC	T COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA	LIFORNIA
3		
4	ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, JULIEANNA	)
	MUNIZ, ELIZA CAMBAY, SAL	) Case No.:
5	CATALDO, EMIR GOENAGA, JULIAN	) 3:20-cv-04688
	SANTIAGO, HAROLD NYANJOM, KELLIE	)
6	NYANJOM, and SUSAN LYNN HARVEY,	)
	individually and on behalf of all	)
7	others similarly situated,	)
		)
8	Plaintiffs,	)
	vs.	)
9		)
	GOOGLE LLC,	)
10		)
	Defendant.	)
11		- )
12		
13		
14	***HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORN	EYS EYES ONLY***
15		
16	REMOTE PROCEEDINGS O	F THE
17	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF A	RNE DE BOOIJ
18	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 7,	2023
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	REPORTED BY NANCY J. MARTIN	
24	CSR. NO. 9504, RMR, RPR	
25	PAGES 1-133	
		Page 1

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 3 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	see Insitum? That's a vendor that we work with for
2	research. So they probably wrote a large part of the
3	content, but I might have started the document and
4	they filled in the content.
5	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
6	Q. So what do you mean that you work with a
7	vendor. Can you tell me more about that?
8	A. Yeah. So Insitum, the name there, it's a
9	research vendor.
10	Q. What's the name of this vendor?
11	A. It's spelled Insitum. It says it right there
12	on the document (indicating).
13	Q. Oh, I see. Is this the only vendor that you
14	work with, or are there other vendors?
15	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. Vague.
16	THE WITNESS: Work with in what sense?
17	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
18	Q. For research.
19	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Same objection.
20	THE WITNESS: No. They're not the only
21	vendor.
22	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
23	Q. So for this study, the Pinecone Study 3 UDC,
24	why did you need to hire a vendor?
25	A. Let's see. I'm trying to remember. We use
	Page 81

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 4 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	vendors at Google to, you know, perform work for us so
2	we don't have to do it, basically. So in this
3	instance, they did most of the research work for us.
4	So they rented the facilities, recruited participants.
5	Q. What's a pinecone study?
6	A. Do you mean this particular study?
7	Q. Sure. We'll start with this particular
8	study. Well, no, let me actually I don't think
9	that's what I mean.
L O	Is it fair to say a Pinecone study is a
11	category of study at Google?
12	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. Vague.
13	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "a
L 4	category" of a study?
15	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
16	Q. Like this wasn't the only pinecone study
L 7	ever; right? It's a type of study. Is that fair?
18	A. It's true that there were more pinecone
19	studies, yes.
20	Q. What's the purpose of a pinecone study?
21	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. Vague.
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, it depends on what we
23	want to study.
24	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
25	Q. What was the purpose of this pinecone study?
	Page 82

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 5 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1 Well, let's see. I can scroll down. There's 2 probably specifics. Okay. So looking at the page 3 ending in -697, that's Page 6 of the PDF. So I'm looking at that page, and there it says the topics 4 included were dashboards, consents, UDC consent and UDC website. 6 Q. And the title of the document says, "Pinecone Study 3." Does that mean there was a Pinecone Study 1 8 9 and 2 about these topics? 10 No, not about these topics, I believe. 11 But there was a Pinecone Study 1 and a Pinecone Study 2? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Ο. Do you recall what topics those studies were 15 about? 16 I don't recall. Α. 17 Were you involved in all of the pinecone Q. 18 studies or just this one? 19 When you say, "all of the pinecone studies," 2.0 which ones are you referring to? 21 So are there more than the three that we've 22 already identified? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. How many were there? 25 A. I think 52. Page 83

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 6 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	Q. Were there any other pinecone studies, aside
2	from this one, that explored UDC?
3	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. Vague.
4	THE WITNESS: I would have to look at each
5	study to determine like which ones focused on UDC.
6	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
7	Q. Do you think there was at least one other one
8	that focused on UDC besides this one?
9	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Calls for speculation. Asked
10	and answered.
11	THE WITNESS: I mean I would guess, and I
12	guess we're not allowed guessing.
13	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
14	Q. So I just want to understand sort of how this
15	study came to be. Why was Google studying UDC at this
16	time?
17	A. I don't recall specifics. I can take a look
18	at the document.
19	Q. Yeah, please. Go ahead.
20	A. All right. So I'm on slide or Page 7 of
21	the PDF. It says, "For the Activity controls, we
22	suspect that we can increase the clarity and
23	comprehension of the text. Also, we are changing the
24	UI for web and we want to see if users comprehend what
25	the Activity controls are in this context."
	Page 84

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 7 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	And then there are several research questions
2	listed. "Do users comprehend what will happen if they
3	turn on the Web & App activity setting (in the context
4	of an app)?
5	"Does adding 'My Activity' in Activity
6	controls help comprehension?
7	"Do they understand what happens to their
8	already stored data when they turn it off?"
9	All right. So that's one of the topics, and
L O	that was the I mean it seems like that's the
11	purpose of this particular study.
12	Q. Who at Google came up with these questions?
13	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. Vague.
L 4	Foundation.
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know who
16	specifically did this for this particular study
L 7	came up with those particular questions. I just don't
18	remember.
19	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
20	Q. Could it have been you?
21	A. Let's see. I have to scroll back up and
22	down. I'm looking to see if my name is sort of
23	against that particular topic. I mean you're asking
24	could it have been me; right?
25	Q. Uh-huh.
	Dage 85

## Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 8 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1 And that's -- I don't know if it could have 2 been me. It could be or it could not have been. either/or. It's hard for me to answer conclusively. 4 Can you tell me about the specific work that 5 you did for this study? 6 MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't remember the specifics. 8 This was in 2017, which is a very long time ago. 9 BY MR. FRAWLEY: 10 Q. Can you just tell me more generally about the 11 work that you did on this study? What was your role 12 in this study? 13 So, more generally, I arranged the contract 14 with the vendor in this case, Insitum. So I'm sure 15 that was in place. Yeah, that's definitely a fact. 16 What else? I ensured that the participants 17 were, you know, meeting the requirements as defined on 18 slides through Page 6. So we're looking for 10 19 participants. I don't know if it's mentioned, but I 2.0 can see from photos this is actually in Germany. As I mentioned before, we're doing it -- 99 percent of my 21 22 work focus is on research in Europe. So this is 23 Germany. 24 So I arranged that the participants recruited 25 matched the requirements, followed the requirements. Page 86

What we can see here is that that's -- all of them are interested in privacy issues. So this reminds me that this research -- actually, a lot of the research we did involved participants more -- with more extreme -- I wouldn't say, "extreme" -- more interesting privacy issues.

So the sample is sort of not even a -- it's not a general population representative sample. It's more biased towards more privacy interests. Also, you can see it's 10 participants that we recruit for this particular study. Yeah. Those are some examples of what I did.

Q. That's helpful.

1

2

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Why did you want your sample to be biased towards people who are more interested in privacy?

A. It provides us with -- you know, I don't know what the right word is, but with perceptions -- maybe that's the right word -- from people that are interested in this topic because we know -- in my research with participants in Europe, we've seen a variety of behaviors, and we wanted to get -- some people don't read it at all and some people do.

So we wanted to focus on those people that were interested and, you know, we would get more useful information from that group.

Page 87

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 10 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	MR. FRAWLEY: All right. I'm introducing a
2	new exhibit.
3	(Deposition Exhibit 202 was marked for
4	identification.)
5	MR. FRAWLEY: All right. I am marking
6	Exhibit 202.
7	Please let me know when you have that one in
8	front of you.
9	(Pause.)
10	THE WITNESS: Yep. It's opening. Yep.
11	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
12	Q. All right. Do you see near the top of the
13	document that you are listed as the author?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And you can take a moment to review it, but
16	my question is just did you write this document?
17	A. Let's see. I definitely created the
18	document. I don't think I wrote every word in the
19	document.
20	Q. But you have some of the document. Is that
21	fair?
22	A. Yeah. Yes.
23	Q. Can you tell me what is meant by "N3" at the
24	very top?
25	A. N3? Yeah. This stand for Narnia 3.
	Page 98

1 And can you elaborate on what Narnia 3 is. 0. 2 It was a project name. I mean I don't Α. remember the specifics. It included, based on this 3 4 and memory, a change to the -- definitely to the consent I think or engagement, and I think primarily it was related to account creation in Europe. Yep. 6 If you know, is there a Narnia 2? Q. Yes, there is a Narnia 2. 8 Α. 9 What was the difference, if anything, between Q. 10 Narnia 2 and Narnia 3, aside from the number? MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. Foundation. 11 12 THE WITNESS: I mean they are both projects 13 focused on different things, a lot of different 14 things. But I don't sort of -- I can't sort of give a 15 complete answer to what exactly the difference was 16 between them. There was a lot of different things 17 happening in both, probably. BY MR. FRAWLEY: 18 19 Q. Do you know why the name was given as 2.0 "Narnia"? 21 MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. Vague. 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly. You mean 23 Narnia 3, why the name was Narnia 3? 24 BY MR. FRAWLEY: 25 Q. The word "Narnia," was there some Page 99

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 12 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	significance to that choice?
2	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Same objection.
3	THE WITNESS: I don't remember if there was
4	significance to that.
5	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
6	Q. Okay. And can you tell me what is meant by
7	"Express "?"
8	A. Yes. It's a code name for one of the options
9	or design directions that was part of the Narnia 3
LO	project.
11	Q. What was the specific object or direction
12	that was code name for?
13	A. Are you talking about this point in time,
L 4	when this document was written?
15	Q. Sure. We can start there.
16	A. Yeah. I think it was the code name for a
L 7	design direction that included something called
18	"Express." Express path, probably, is the right word.
19	Above it, it says manual path. So "express" means
20	express path.
21	Q. Do you see where in the still on the first
22	page it says, "Hypothesis & Research Questions"?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What is meant by "Hypothesis"?
25	A. Hypothesis in research it's common in
	Dage 100

1 research practice, it means an assumption or -- so 2 it's not theory or not a fact. It's basically 3 something that we believe may or may not be the case, 4 and we describe that for the purposes of running the 5 research. 6 Okay. Can you look at -- so if you look at 7 the bottom of this page, do you see where it says, "Research Question, Goal, Hypothesis"? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. And then if you just flip, it looks like --11 well, would you agree with me that the next couple 12 pages just have lists of questions, goals, and 13 hypothesis -- hypotheses? Does that look right to 14 you? 15 Like there's three columns, and I would agree 16 that the first column, is "Research questions," the second, "Goal," and the third, "Hypothesis." 17 18 0. Okay. And can you look at the page ending in 19 -299.R? 2.0 Α. Yes. And do you see, like a little bit under 21 22 halfway down the page in the "Hypothesis" column it 23 says, "Most respondents will believe that turning off WAA will result in no data being collected from their 24 25 activity and no personalization in Google products and Page 101

1 services"? 2 Α. Yes. So what was the basis for that hypothesis? Q. 4 MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. Vaque. 5 THE WITNESS: The context of this study is -taking a step back. As I mentioned, this was an 6 7 account creation for European users. So that's a first step; right? 8 9 Second step was, as mentioned before, most 10 often it would be used for recruit participants with a 11 little bit more privacy interest and notice -- not 12 notice. What's the right word? Interest in -- more 13 interested in privacy; right? So those are two limits 14 on a limiting fact for the context of this; right? 15 With that in mind, I don't know specifically 16 which particular research study was used to formulate 17 this particular hypotheses -- hypothesis. As 18 mentioned, also to my recollection, I did not write 19 the entire document. I might have written pieces of 2.0 it.. 21 So, again, I may or may not have written this 22 particular sentence, but I don't remember what the 23 exact basis for the hypothesis was. As I mentioned 24 before, this hypothesis is not a theory or a fact. 25 BY MR. FRAWLEY: Page 102

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 15 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	Q. So just to clarify, for this study, was this
2	another example of where you were seeking participants
3	who were more privacy conscious?
4	Let me look at the document and see if I find
5	it anywhere.
6	MR. FRAWLEY: Sure.
7	THE WITNESS: I did not see it when I was
8	scrolling down before.
9	(The witness reviewed Exhibit 202.)
10	THE WITNESS: It doesn't specify it for this
11	particular study. So that was an assumption, but
12	there's no facts. So I would say I don't know, for
13	this particular study, who did that or not.
14	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
15	Q. And do you know what kind of research method
16	was used for this study?
17	A. Again, I'm looking at the document. It
18	doesn't specify it anywhere, at least not at the top.
19	I mean it doesn't specify it. So I I would be
20	guessing. So I don't know specifically.
21	Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off.
22	I'm sorry.
23	A. I don't know specifically what method, for
24	this particular study, was used.
25	Q. Do you think it might have been a survey?
	Page 103

# Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 531-18 Filed 06/24/25 Page 16 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	A. It I'm just looking at the research
2	questions.
3	(Pause.)
4	THE WITNESS: Let's see. I mean it could
5	have been, but I'm not 100 percent sure it was.
6	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
7	Q. So can you talk to me about, when you're
8	thinking about doing a study like this, how do you
9	decide whether it should be a survey or a usability
10	lab or some other method?
11	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. Vague.
12	THE WITNESS: I mean as mentioned before, it
13	really depends on, you know, the questions we want
14	answered.
15	BY MR. FRAWLEY:
16	Q. So based on the questions for this study
17	and I know you don't remember which method was
18	actually chosen. But sitting here today, what's your
19	opinion on the best method for these questions?
20	MS. AGNOLUCCI: Objection. Vague.
21	THE WITNESS: There's a lot of questions
22	here. It looks like 30 or so. I mean just quickly
23	counting or guessing.
24	I would have to go through each question, and
25	based on that, say that this is the appropriate
	Page 104

### CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the aforesaid testimony was taken before me, pursuant to notice, at the time and place indicated; that said deponent was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the testimony of said deponent was correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me and thereafter transcribed under my supervision with computer-aided transcription; that the deposition is a true and correct record of the testimony given by the witness; and that I am neither of counsel nor kin to any party in said action, nor interested in the outcome thereof.

 Dated:

ulang o what

February 8, 2023

Nancy J. Martin, RMR, CSR

(The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying shorthand reporter.)

Page 127